

IFLA/FAIFE World Report: Libraries and Intellectual Freedom



South Africa

18-12-1998

South Africa is emerging from a society that has been deeply censored. It is now enjoying basic rights and freedoms that many other countries may take for granted. South Africa for the first time is enjoying a constitutionally protected right to freedom of expression and access to information. This is a highly significant development. Yet, while a great deal of attention has been focussed on ensuring these rights at a constitutional, legislative and government it is very difficult to say to what extent the value of freedom of expression is recognized "on the ground" and to what extent people will defend it on a day-to-day level, and more broadly on a citizen-to-government level.

Population:	42,393,000 (1996)
GNP per capita:	\$ 3,520 (1996)
Government / Constitution:	Republic
Main languages:	English, Afrikaans, Xhosa, Zulu
Main religions:	Black Christian churches, Dutch Reformed, Roman Catholic
Literacy:	82% (1995)
Online:	4,19% (May 2000)

The right to freedom of expression straddles numerous aspects of democratic society in a manner quite unlike other fundamental rights we enjoy. It encompasses the rights to freedom of speech, media, academic inquiry and artistic endeavour. It extends to and can conceivably be regarded as essential to other fundamental rights such as freedom of choice, religion, conscience, association, protest, and political freedom. Both the right of access to information and freedom of expression forms the backbone of many vital institutions and activities of civil society.

In undertaking an audit of freedom of expression, one is mindful that over the past few years, the right to freedom of expression, and many of its component parts, have featured in numerous public debates. It proves that while this right has been entrenched in our constitution it remains controversial. The controversy includes the issue of abuse and the question of whether a fundamental human right such as freedom of expression can be abused and how. On the other end of the debate is the question of limitations, and the cautionary note as expressed by MP Patricia de Lille, "...how will we know when the limitations we set are in themselves abusive?" The debate reflects a necessary tension that comes with the growing maturity of our democratic nation as people and institutions embrace the rights they have attained, and others are brought in step with the realities of our constitution. It is this reality which is of most concern when doing an audit of freedom of expression.

Access to information

Access to information is a fundamental human right enshrined by the constitution in the Bill of Rights. The Bill gives everyone the right of access to any information held by the state, and any information that is held by another person and that is required for exercise or protection of any rights. Ever since the installation of a democratic order in South Africa, government implemented numerous steps in law and practice that lend themselves to greater openness and transparency and allow citizens greater access to information. The public disclosure of the financial interests of members of Parliament is a habit that is being inculcated by Parliament itself through its rules, while the finance ministry has taken upon itself to transform the budget process in an unprecedented manner allowing for greater public participation and consultation on the manner in which taxpayers money is being disbursed. Parliament continues to implement innovative steps to improve public access to its proceeding, including special television access.

The Open Democracy Bill, which was published in the government gazette in October last year, if accepted by law, will provide a legislative framework for citizen's right of access to government information. It marks a significant break with the past and will alter radically the relationship between government and citizen. The overall objective of the bill is to establish good governance that is free of corruption and accountable to the public. Part of achieving this is to empower the public effectively to scrutinise governmental decision making processes that affect them, hence the access to information and open meeting sections of the bill. These two sections are subject to certain limitations but generally citizens have the right to access information held by a government body, in so far as this can be done without jeopardising good governance, personal privacy, commercial confidentiality, law enforcement, legal proceedings, international relations and defence and security.

The next crucial aspect about the bill is the protection it affords the citizen against or a private body abusing information held on her/him. The citizen is able to demand that government or private body alter any personal information held on the citizen and which the citizen deems to be incorrect, while the citizen also has the right to know what the information is

being used for or to whom the information will be furnished. The final crucial aspect of the bill is the "whistleblower" clause aimed specifically at preventing potential corruption in government departments. A whistleblower is a person who in good faith, reasonably believes that he/she is disclosing evidence of a contravention of the law, corruption or dishonesty or serious maladministration in government. The bill offers protection for whistleblowers by prohibiting the dismissal, suspension, demotion, harassment, intimidation or unfavourable change of conditions of employment of such a person.

The Bill has been hailed as the most progressive in the world. However, while South Africa compared to many third world countries looks promising there are no laurels on which to rest yet.

Freedom of expression

The new constitution of the Republic of South Africa (Act 108 of 1996) states the following in Section 16 (1):

"Everyone has the right to freedom of expression, which includes:

- A. Freedom of the press and other media
- B. Freedom to receive or impart information or ideas.
- C. Freedom of artistic creativity; and
- D. Academic freedom and freedom of scientific research."

Besides the constitution the approach of government to the issue of freedom of expression is underpinned by various other pieces of legislation and practices of state and governmental institutions such as the Judicial Services Commission, the Independent Broadcasting Authority, the Public Protector, the Auditor-General and many others. It should be acknowledged though, that there will always be a temptation on the part of those in positions of authority to treat their activity as confidential, that is only too unfortunate if things become. We should constantly guard against this.

Possibly the greatest challenge to freedom of expression is in the courts in the area of defamation. However, the recent ruling on defamation by the Appellate Division of the Supreme Court on the so called Bogoshi judgement in favour of the newspaper "City Press" is a vital decision for the maintenance and expansion of media freedom in South Africa. It has removed unfair burden of legal liability on the media, which has inhibited its ability to exercise freedom of expression in South Africa. The ruling by judge by Mr. Justice JJF Hefer, with the concurrence of four fellow judges, also represents a victory for the freedom of expression principles contained in South Africa's constitution and overturns previous Supreme Court and Appellate Division decisions, which had severely restricted the media in its role as a public watchdog. The judge pointed out that in South Africa freedom of expression had not been given sufficient weight especially in regard to the role of the press, when considered against the competing value of the reputation of plaintiff and ruled that "it would be wrong to regard either of the rival interests as more important than the other". He accordingly rejected the need for material to be proved true in all respects and found that the media had to prove that it had not been negligent in publishing the material. His forceful affirmation of the role of the media in society in which he stated: "We must not forget that it is right, and indeed a vital function of the press to make available to the community information and criticism about every aspect of public, political, social and economic activity and thus to contribute to the formation of public opinion".

However South Africa still suffers from the affliction of informal censorship. Kwazulu-Natal is most heavily affected, where people are still killed on the basis of their political affiliations. The extent of informal censorship is difficult to quantify: it will take a great deal of time to reverse the climate of fearing to speak out that has been inherited from more violent and repressive times. It is also unclear to what extent the ordinary "man in the street" is conscious of freedom of expression and its importance: this will clearly have an impact on an organised attempt to resist attacks on free speech. There is a crying need to develop, through educational work, a culture of freedom of expression and transparency, so that people will be empowered to defend their newly-won rights.

Conclusion

There can be no doubt that South Africa is enjoying more freedom of expression and access to information than it has done for many decades, even centuries. However, there is still a need for more vigilance. It seems that a lot of public debates must still be encouraged on these issues so that they can actually penetrate the "grassroots" level. The other challenge we are facing is to address the censorship effects that flow from huge numbers of people not having adequate access to the means of receiving and producing information. This great need presents possibly the most daunting, yet diffuse, challenge to ensuring that freedom of expression and access to information are rights owned and practices by all.

References:

1. The constitution of South Africa, Act 108 of 1996
2. On the occasions of World Press Freedom Day, Freedom of Expression Institute : <http://www.fxj.org.za>
3. The Open Democracy Act Draft Bill, Government Gazette 18381 no 1514 of 1997.
4. Statement by Freedom of Expression Institute on "Bogoshi" Judgement, Press Release, [http://www fxj.org.za/press/1998/2.10.98.0.htm](http://www.fxj.org.za/press/1998/2.10.98.0.htm).
5. Brite, J.J, An ethical perspective on the right of access to Information, Meta-Info Bulletin, Vol 7, no 2.
6. Louw R, The challenges of Communication by Government and Business in South Africa, 1996, 2

7. Submission on the Open Democracy Bill, Freedom of Expression Institute, <http://fxi.org.za/bill.htm>.
8. Independent Broadcasting Authority Act 153, 1993.
9. Information flow in Africa "a trickle, the star of 12 November 1997
10. Birth of a new Government Communications Order; <http://www.gcis.gov.za>